

CINP accreditation pathway and credentialing examination Proposal Anonymous Survey Results - Summary


Important Notes:

- **Conducted via Survey Monkey between June 6 – 30, 2017**
- **Survey link sent to Canadian IONM practitioner list (n=78)**
- **Total respondents = 20**

Free Text responses are not included in this summary


- **Comments (Free Text)**
 - **Survey respondents were asked to provide a reason if they answered NO to a question**
- **Additional Survey Questions (Free Text)**
 - **What aspects of the proposed CINP accreditation pathway and credentialing examination do you like and not like? (Please be specific)**
 - **What changes to the proposal, if any, would make you more likely to challenge the CINP examination? (Please be specific)**

What is your effective date of hire for IONM in Canada?




Will you be eligible for CANM Full Membership by January 2018?

(Criteria: primary role is IONM, majority of practice in Canada, minimum 200 cases)



comments = 3


In principle, do you support the concept of a Canadian IONM accreditation initiative?



comments = 1

Do you support the CINP accreditation pathway and credentialing examination, as proposed


(even if you will choose not to challenge it)?



comments = 6


Are you eligible to challenge the CINF credentialing examination under the currently proposed prerequisites? (Criteria: 36 months experience (24 months in past 5 years), 300 cases (25 each brain, brainstem, spine, vascular),

surgeon attestation)




comments = 9

Will you challenge the CINF examination?




comments = 8

Will you encourage new entrants into the IONM field to follow the CINF accreditation pathway and credentialing examination process?



comments = 8

Will your employer support or encourage you to obtain a Canadian credential in IONM?



comments = 7